

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,265	01/19/2000	Toshiki Mori	826.1587/JDH	2955
21171	7590 08/12/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/487,265	MORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Akiba K Robinson-Boyce	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 .	luly 2003 .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 						
	wit from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Due to communications filed 718103, the following is a final office action. Claims 1-27 are pending in this application and have been examined on the merits. Claims 1-21 have been amended. Claims 22-27 have been added. The previous office action has been withdrawn and the following rejection reflects the claims as amended. The finality of the last office action has been withdrawn. Claims 1-27 have been rejected.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: Grammatical errors, for example, on line 3 of Claim 11, it reads "and announces contents of to a" when it should read "and announces contents of a". On lines 8 and 9 of Claim 12, it reads "and generates an plurality of events" when it should read "and generates a plurality of events". Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3623

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3, 6, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21, and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliver (US Patent 5,907,490).
 As per claims 1, 6, 15, 16, 17, 21, Oliver discloses:

[An acquisition unit/a first program part for] obtaining information indicating whether each of a plurality of receivers of a message, who in a group do a job associated with the message, has completed an assigned part of the job, (Col. 6, lines 34-42, w/ Col. 7, lines 11-22, where the graphical user interface and touch screen represents the acquisition unit and helps complete EV analysis, Col. 3, lines 30-37, where EV analysis helps measure wheat has been accomplished on a project);

[A control unit/a second program part,] based on the information obtained by the acquisition unit, causing a terminal apparatus to display information indicating a

Art Unit: 3623

ratio of persons who have completed the respectively assigned parts of the job among all the plurality of receivers of the message doing the job that is associated with the message/wherein the control unit causes the terminal apparatus at the transmitter of the message or the receiver of the message to mandatory display the information indicating the ratio of the persons who have completed the respectively assigned parts of the job among all the plurality of receivers of the message doing the job the is associated with the message, (Col. 7, lines 5-10 and lines 38-41, where the control unit and the second program part is represented by the EV analyzer program in the computer, Col. 8, lines 21-29, where Oliver does not specifically disclose the "ratio", but represents the "ratio" through the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to disclose the ratio of persons who have completed the respectively assigned parts of the job amongst all the plurality of receivers of the message doing the job with the motivation of determining which jobs are complete and which jobs are incomplete for assignment purposes.

As per claims 3, 19, Oliver discloses: Wherein the control unit causes the terminal apparatus to display a completion state table comprising information indicating the ratio of the persons who have completed the respectively assigned parts of the job among all the plurality of receivers of the message doing the job and the title of the message, (Col. 8, lines 21-29, where the ratio is represented by the

Art Unit: 3623

EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed, Fig. 1, where this bar chart includes EV information that represents what has been accomplished as the project progresses. This chart also includes completion information as described in Col. 4, lines 23-41. Since Oliver describes that a chart can visually represent the ratio information about completed tasks, it is obvious to include the completion state ratio in a table since a chart represents

As per claims 22, 23, Oliver discloses:

information in tabular form).

A message generation unit generating a message to which attached is a entry space for entering a completion date offer indicating a completion date each receiver desires to agree in place of the completion date stated in the message, (Col. 6, lines 34 46 and lines 57-62 w/ Col. 8, lines 21-29 where it is shown that the user can utilize the computer interface to input EV-related information which can include percent complete, in this case, the message generation unit is represented by the graphical user interface (GUI), also Col. 1, lines 20-25, where the completion date is also represented by the finish dates); and A control unit causing a terminal apparatus to display in a table form the title of the message, names a plurality of the receivers and the completion dates entered into the entry spaces attached to the message by the plurality of the receivers respectively/wherein the control unit causes the terminal apparatus to display in a table form information including a ratio of persons who have completed the respectively assigned parts of the job among all the plurality of receivers of the message doing the job that is associated with the message, (Col.

Art Unit: 3623

8, lines 21-29, where the ratio is represented by the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed, Fig. 1, where this bar chart includes EV information that represents what has been accomplished as the project progresses. This chart also includes completion information as described in Col. 4, lines 23-41. Since Oliver describes that a chart can visually represent the ratio information about completed tasks, it is obvious to include the completion state ratio in a table since a chart represents information in tabular form and where the control unit that causes the terminal to display is represented by the project management software which include the object link).

As per claim 24, Oliver discloses:

Transmitting a message to individuals of a group concerning parts of a job assigned to the individuals, (Col. 7, lines 59-62 wl Col. 4, lines 23-41, where the EV information, which includes the parts of a job that have been accomplished as the project progresses is presented);

Obtaining information concerning job part completion, (Col. 6, lines 37-41, represented by the completion of Task B); and

Displaying a ratio indicating a number of individuals of the group who have completed the parts of the job, (Col. 8, lines 21-29, where the ratio is represented by the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed).

As per claim 25, Oliver fails to disclose:

Art Unit: 3623

Wherein the ratio indicates a number of individuals who have opened the message, (Col. 8, lines 21-29, where the ratio is represented by the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed where the number of individuals who open the message are the same individuals who complete a percent of the task or parts of the job).

As per claim 26, Oliver discloses:

Wherein the ratio indicates a number of individuals who have completed a job part task, (Col. 8, lines 21-29, where the ratio is represented by the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed).

As per claim 27, Oliver discloses:

Wherein the ratio indicates a number of individuals for whom a job part task period has expired, (Col. 4, lines 40-41, represented by the task actually being completed).

5. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7-12, 14, 20, 18, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliver (US 5,907,490) in further view of Nakaoka (US Patent 6,092,048).

As per claims 2, 18, Oliver discloses the following:

Wherein the control unit causes the information indicating the ratio of the persons who have completed respectively assigned parts of the job to be displayed, (Col.

Art Unit: 3623

8, lines 21-29, where the ratio is represented by the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed);

Oliver fails to disclose the following, however Nakaoka discloses:

together with a title of the message in response to one of a display request of a user and on fulfilling predetermined conditions... (Col. 4, lines 19-35, represented by the task title where "CREATE REPORT OF INVESTIGATION" represents the request of a user).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to incorporate having a title of the message in response to the request of a user with the motivation of actually displaying and allowing the user to visually distinguish one request from another. As per claims 4, 20, Oliver discloses:

Counts the number of receivers who have activated the confirmation button for causing the terminal apparatus to display the information indicating the ratio of the persons having completed the assigned parts of the job, (Col. 8, lines 21-29, Oliver doesn't specifically disclose the number of receivers who activate a confirmation button is counted, however the ratio is represented by the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed and in order to determine the percent complete, one must determine a count for the number of tasks completed);

Oliver fails to disclose the following, however Nakaoka discloses:

Art Unit: 3623

A message generation unit generating a message provided with a confirmation button by which each receiver of the message can individually inform that the receiver has completed the assigned part of the job to the transmitter of the message; (Col. 13, lines 19-23, represented by the completion button);

Wherein the control unit judges when the confirmation button is activated by a receiver of the message that the receiver has completed the assigned part of the job (Col. 13, lines 23-25, represented by placing the task entry in a completed state).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to incorporate a confirmation button with the motivation of having means to determine the number of users that completed the task.

As per claims 5, 22, Oliver discloses:

The message generation unit generates a message to which attached is an entry space for entering a completion date offer indicating a completion date each receiver desires to agree to in place of the completion date in the message/a message

generation unit generating a message to which attached is an entry space for entering a completion date offer indicating a completion date each receiver

Art Unit: 3623

desires to agree in place of the completion date stated in the message, (Col. 1, lines 20-25, represented by the finish dates);

the control unit causes a terminal device of the transmitter apparatus at the transmitter of the message to display the completion date offer that is entered in the entry space/a control unit causing a terminal apparatus to display in a table form the title of the message, names a plurality of the receivers and the completion dates entered

into the entry spaces attached to the message by the plurality of the receivers respectively, (Col. 1, lines 25-32, represented by the PERT chart).

As per claim 7, Oliver discloses:

Wherein the control unit causes the terminal apparatus to display the information indicating the ratio of the persons who have completed the assigned parts of the job when one of a specified date for completing is a current and when the ratio of the

persons who have completed the assigned parts of job reaches a preassigned value (Col. 8, lines 21-29, where the ratio is represented by the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed and in order to determine the percent complete, one must determine a count for the number of tasks completed); As per claim 8, Oliver discloses:

Wherein the control unit causes the terminal apparatus to display the information indicating the ratio of persons who have completed the assigned parts of the job

Art Unit: 3623

on a day specified by a transmitter of the message in advance (Col. 8, lines 21-29, the ratio

is represented by the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed and in order to determine the percent complete, one must determine a count for the number of tasks completed.

As per claim 9, Oliver discloses:

An acquisition unit obtaining information indicating whether each of a plurality of receivers of a message, who in a group do a job associated with the message, has completed an assigned part of the job ... (Col. 6, lines 34-42, wl Col. 7, lines 11-22,

where the graphical user interface and touch screen represents the acquisition unit and

helps complete EV analysis, Col. 3, lines 30-37, where EV analysis helps measure wheat has been accomplished on a project);

A storage unit storing information identifying a message... (Col. 6, lines 59-62); Oliver fails to teach the following, however Nakaoka discloses:

A control unit causes a terminal apparatus display information indicating a ratio of persons who have completed respectively assigned parts of a job associated with the message among a plurality of receivers of the message, (Col. 7, lines 5-10 and lines 38 41, where the control unit and the second program part is represented by the EV analyzer program in the computer, Col. 8, lines 21-29,

Art Unit: 3623

where the ratio is represented by the EV-related information pertaining to the percent complete being displayed).

Oliver fails to disclose the following, however Nakaoka discloses:

and information indicating a name of a transmitter of the message, a name of a receiver who has completed the assigned part of the job in a mutually associated manner; (Col. 3, lines 25-26, represented by the creation of a task entry by a user, Col. 3, lines 1- 13, where the receiver is represented by the information on the worker and if the task given to the worker has been created//completed) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention for information indicating a name of a transmitter of the message, and a name of the receiver who has completed the assigned part of the job with the motivation of correctly identifying users in the message processing network so messages can be properly routed.

The following is not disclosed by Oliver or Nakaoka however is obvious with the invention of Nakaoka since the environment of this system is a network type flow. In this type of environment, the transmitter will always be known as the network:

A name of a transmitter...

As per claim 10, Oliver fails to teach the following, however Nakaoka discloses: Wherein said control unit causes the terminal apparatus to display an event announcement table containing information relating to a plurality of events... (Fig. 7, Col. 10, lines 33-43, represented by the event condition table).

Art Unit: 3623

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to display an event announcement table with the motivation of displaying necessary information for the correct evaluation of event status.

As per claim 11, Oliver fails to disclose the following, however Nakaoka discloses:

Wherein said control unit to generate an event announcement table according to schedules associated with a plurality of received messages, and announces contents of to a receiver by instructing a terminal apparatus of the receiver to display the event announcement table, (Fig. 20, Col. 16, lines 48-58, represented by the event rule list display).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to generate and display an event announcement table with the motivation of table with the motivation of displaying necessary information for the correct evaluation of event status.

As per claim 12, Oliver discloses:

Wherein said control unit stores event information, for each of a plurality of events that take pace in a manner distributed in a multiplayer timeline chart, describing about details of each event, time-schedule of each event and participating persons in each event in a mutually associated manner, and generates an plurality of events based on the event information, for displaying the event announcement table when a message is generated, associating contents of a plurality of events in a time-series multiple level structure... (Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 14, Nakaoka discloses:

A message generation unit capable of generating a message to which attached is a condition for deleting the message, so that the message which the condition for deleting the message is attached can be deleted automatically based on one of a certain period after the message being generated and in accordance to the attached condition by an independent act of a transmitter or a receiver of the message ... (Col. 13, lines 9-17, represented by the user being able to change the value of the task title column where the value is what is used to transmit the message and represents the condition).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention for the message to be generated in accordance to a condition with the motivation of correctly transmitting proper messages to the correct users.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliver (US Patent 5,907,490), and further in view of Beck et al (US Patent 6,370,508).

As per claim 13, Oliver fails to disclose the following, however Beck et al discloses:

Further comprising a message generation unit attaching an indicator to a confidential message indicating a need for limiting transfer of the confidential

Art Unit: 3623

message, wherein said control unit limits transfer of the confidential message to which the

indicator is attached, (Col. 27, lines 52-65, where the indicator is represented by the identifier).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to incorporate a confidential message identified by an indicator with the motivation of securing the confidentiality of the message and not allowing this type of message to be displayed to the wrong people.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. As per claims 23-27, the 35 USC 112 rejection has been withdrawn and rejected as discussed above.
- 9. The finality of the previous office action has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection
 presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See
 MPEP

Art Unit: 3623

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Akiba K Robinson-Boyce whose telephone number is 703-305-1340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 703-305-9643: The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7238 [After final communications, labeled "Box AF"], 703-746-7239 [Official Communications], and 703-746-7150 [Informal/Draft Communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"].

Art Unit: 3623

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

A. R. B.

August 11, 2003

Homain Jeanty
Art Unit 3623